

# **HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT**

**Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

**February 1997**

## **Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)**

## **HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT**

### **Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

#### **An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures**

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson  
2375 130th Avenue NE  
Suite 200  
Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Bonneville Power Administration  
Environment, Fish and Wildlife  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2  
Contract Number 95AC49468

February 1997



# CONTENTS

Section 1 Executive Summary .....	1-1
Section 2 Facility Description .....	2-1
Section 3 Compliance Status .....	3-1
Section 4 Remedial Actions .....	4-1
Section 5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries ....	5-1
Section 6 Annual Operating Expenditures .....	6-1

## List of Tables

### Table

1	Summary Program Information for Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)
3	Remedial Actions Required at Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)

6      Annual Operating Expenses - Washougal Hatchery

## Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program. The hatchery is located along the Washougal River about 16 miles north of the town of Washougal, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of tule fall chinook and late coho (N type).

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

### Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) Strategy for Salmon and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

## **The Audit Process**

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.

This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

## **Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Results**



The Washougal facility includes one pond for adult holding, 24 concrete raceways, 3 earthen ponds, and incubation facilities. The Washougal Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operating in 1959 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sports fisheries while providing adequate escapement for hatchery production.

The Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal and needed to document its adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the temperature criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, acclimation facilities, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was also not in compliance with the feed preparation tests, flow for incubation criteria, and loading criteria for rearing. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Document compliance with the adequacy of transportation systems to meet IHOT requirements

- Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
- Improve fry-to-smolt survival
- Improve smolt-to-adult survival
- Monitor TGP and record
- Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26 and 27
- Provide acclimation facilities for fish released in the Klickitat River
- Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing
- Provide documentation of water temperature in the transportation unit
- Provide documentation on inspection and service of the transport truck/chassis and tank/unit prior to the release season
- Provide telephone pagers for staff
- Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

## Facility Description

**Name:** Washougal Fish Hatchery

**Stock/Species:** Tule Chinook

Coho (Type N)

**Operating Agency:** Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

**Funding Agency:** Mitchell Act (NMFS)

**Location:** The hatchery is located along the Washougal River about 16 miles north of the town of Washougal, Washington.

**Address:** 15632 Washougal River Road

Washougal, WA 98671

**Hatchery Manager:** Mr. John Norton

**Phone:** (360) 837-3311

**Fax:** (360) 837-3996

**Purpose:** The Washougal Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operating in 1959 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The goal of the hatchery is to produce lower river fall chinook and coho that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sports fisheries while providing adequate escapement for hatchery production.

**Production Goal:** **Tule Fall Chinook**

Produce 6 million subyearlings for on-station release.

Provide 500 eggs/fish to co-op programs

Provide eggs/fish to other facilities

### **Coho (Type N)**

Produce 500,000 yearlings for on-station release

Provide 220,000 eggs/fish to co-op programs

Produce 2.5 million yearlings for release into the Klickitat River as per  
U.S. v. Oregon Agreement

### **Water Supply:**

Water rights total 15,061 gpm from four sources: Washougal River Bob  
Creek, Boyle Creek, and C-Creek

### **Facilities:**

Adult Holding: 1 asphalt-lined adult pond - 100,825 cf

Incubation: 72 full-stack vertical tray incubators - 1,152 trays

4 deep troughs

Early Rearing: 2 shallow troughs

Raceways: 12 concrete raceways - 5,000 cf each

12 concrete raceways - 8,750 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 1 earthen pond, Pond 25 - 100,000 cf

1 earthen pond, Pond 26 - 120,000 cf

1 earthen pond, Pond 27 - 420,000 cf

Satellite Facilities: None

## Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

- Section 1      Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure  
Information (PMs General 1-2)
- Section 2      Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

## The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Washougal Hatchery was conducted February 6, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.

4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

## **Compliance Status of Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- **N/A** (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- **?** (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.



**Table 1 Summary Program Information for Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing					
	Washougal Hatchery	Lewis River Hatchery (Backup)				
Adult Collection	4	4				
Adult Holding	4	4				
Spawning	4	4				
Fertilization	4	4				
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	4	4				
eyed-to-hatch	4					
Rearing						
fry	4					
fingerlings	4					
smolts	4					
Acclimation/release	4					

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin management plan?		4			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan, Mitchell Act, and Columbia River Development Plan	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?  Is it understood by staff?  Is it being followed?		4  4  4			IHOT Operations Plan and Washougal Operation Manual	
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?  Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		4			CWT and Missing Groups Report	
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery		4			Review of records. Spawning ground contribution not available.	
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 5 years. Low temperature problems can reduce survival.	Improve fry-to-smolt survival
#4g	Production as compared with established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with established goal			4		Review of records; in compliance 1 out of last 4 years	Improve smolt-to-adult survival
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults to meet basinwide needs		4			Review of records/Discussion. Meets needs with exception of eggs.	None

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	<b>Temperature</b>						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for incubation?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for rearing?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Review IHOT temperature criteria for rearing
#5b	<b>Dissolved gases</b>						
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		No data	Monitor TGP and record
#5c	<b>Chemistry</b>						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No data	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		See above	See above
	Chlorine			4		See above	See above
	pH			4		See above	See above
	Copper			4		See above	See above
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		See above	See above
	Iron			4		See above	See above
	Zinc			4		See above	See above

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5d	<b>Turbidity</b>						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5e	<b>Alkalinity and hardness</b>						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5f	<b>Nitrite</b>						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5g	<b>Contaminants</b>						
	Aldrin			4		No data	Run analysis
	Endrin			4		See above	See above
	Dieldrin			4		See above	See above
	Heptachlor			4		See above	See above
	Chlordane			4		See above	See above
	Methoxychlor			4		See above	See above
	Lindane			4		See above	See above
	Malathion			4		See above	See above
	Guthion			4		See above	See above
#5h	<b>Pathogens</b>						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	Adult holding				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Incubation				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Early rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
	Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Others				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	<b>Alarm Systems</b>						
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Incubation facilities		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				No quarantine areas and facilities	
	Water treatment systems	4				No water treatment systems	
	Security				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?		4			Discussion	
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?		4			Discussion	
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are telephone pagers used?				4	Discussion	Provide telephone pagers for staff



**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#8	<b>Incubation facilities</b>						
	Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
#9	Type 2: <u>Deep Troughs</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	<b>Rearing facilities</b>						
	Type 1: <u>Concrete raceways (20'x80')</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: <u>Concrete raceways (18'x135')</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 3: <u>Earthen ponds (#25 and #26)</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4				Not used for coho	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Type 3: <u>Earthen ponds (#27)</u>  Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
#10	<b>Screening facilities</b>  Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?  Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?  Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?  Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water?		4  4  4  4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion  Inspection of facilities/Discussion  Inspection of facilities/Discussion  Released on station	
#11	<b>Predator control facilities</b>  Are your predation control facilities effective?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#12	<b>Food storage facilities and quality control</b>						
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods (dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) follow food manufacturer's recommendations?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				4	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				4	Discussion	See above
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				4	Discussion	See above
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the following criteria?						

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of delivery.	4				No moist pellets used	
	Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.	4				No moist pellets used	
	Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		4			Discussion	
	Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion	
	Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	4				No automatic feeders or bulk storage used	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	<b>Release facilities</b>  Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
#14	<b>Pollution abatement facilities</b>  Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	<b>Transportation facilities</b>  Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT performance measures for transportation practices?		4			Transport systems and drivers provided by another WDFW group. See PM #23	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#16	<b>Broodstock selection practices</b>						
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
#17	<b>Spawning practices</b>						
	Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#18	<b>Incubation practices</b>						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?		4			Review of IHOT Operations Plan and Hatchery Operation Manual	Develop specific incubation standards for IHOT Operations Plan
	Are incubation practices written?		4			See above	
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> (see PM #8) Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria

**Table 2   Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)   Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Incubation Type 2: <u>Deep trough</u> (see PM #8)  Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	See above



**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	<b>Rearing practices</b>						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?		4			Review of IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan and Washougal Operation Plan	
	Are rearing practices written?		4			Review Hatchery Operations Plan	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Raceways (20'x80')</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Provide 2,000 gpm more water during late rearing
	Rearing Unit Type 2: <u>Raceways (18'x135')</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	See above
	Rearing Unit Type 3: <u>Earthen ponds (#25 and #26)</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4				Not used for coho	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4					
	Rearing Unit Type 4: <u>Earthen ponds (#27)</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2   Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)   Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	See above
#20	<b>Smolt quality</b>						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	<b>Fish health management practices</b>						
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted? (PM #26)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? (PM #27)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
	Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

**Table 2   Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)   Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? (PM #31)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	<b>Does hatchery performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?</b>						
#22a1	<b>Percent smoltification</b> Do you measure percent smoltification? Do you have a smoltification goal Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4	4	Discussion Discussion Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
#22a2	<b>Rearing density (prior to release)</b>  Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a3	<b>Disease condition (at release)</b>  Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a4	<b>Number (at release)</b>  Did you meet the release number goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a5	<b>Size at release</b>  Did you meet the size goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a6	<b>Dates of release</b>						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a7	<b>Location of release</b>						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22b	<b>Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin?</b>						
	<b>Washougal Releases</b>		4			Discussion	
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?						
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
	<b>Klickitat Releases</b>				4	Discussion	Provide acclimation for fish released in the Klickitat River
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?						
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				4	Discussion	See above
#22c	<b>Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?</b>		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#23	<b>Transportation facilities</b>						
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?		4			Discussion	
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?		4			Discussion	
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		4			Discussion	
	Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water?		4			Discussion	
	Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season?			4		Discussion	Provide documentation on inspection and service of the transport truck/chassis and tank/unit prior to the release season
	Is a daily service inspection completed before starting up and leaving for the day?		4			Discussion	



**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#23 (cont)	<b>Transportation facilities</b>						
	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior to loading?		4			Discussion	
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to loading fish in the transport unit?		4			Discussion	
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes to 1 hour after loading?		4			Discussion	
	When fish are active and systems are functioning properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and maintained at approximately 8 ppm?		4			Discussion	
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit maintained within the 42-48 °F range?			4		Discussion	Provide documentation of water temperature in the transportation unit

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Do fish releasing procedures include the following criteria?						
	Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		4			Discussion	
	Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		4			Discussion	
	The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#24	<b>Evaluation practices</b>						
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#25	<b>Training practices</b>						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#26	<b>Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as described below?</b>						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#27	<b>Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?</b>						

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of reportable viral pathogens.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#28	<b>Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation procedures?</b>						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing?				4	Discussion	Provide pathogen-free water for incubation and early rearing
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	



**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	<b>Are water quality parameters being followed?</b>						
	Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	<b>Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?</b>						
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	<b>Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?</b>		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	<b>Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin management plan?</b>  Go to subbasin plan PM #1		4			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan, Mitchell Act, and Columbia River Development Plan	
#33	<b>Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?</b>  Go to operational plan PM #2		4			IHOT Operations Plan and Washougal Operation Manual	
#34	<b>Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?</b>  Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3		4			CWT and Missing Groups Report	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas:  species, stock, broodstock collection location,  broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,  and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program )		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#36	<b>Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?</b>						
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Size at release (PM #22a5)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	<b>Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin?</b>  See PM #22b				4	ÒYesÓ for fish release at hatchery; ÒnoÓ for fish released in Klickitat River	See PM #22b
#38	<b>Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?</b>  See PM #22c		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#39	<b>For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan been developed?</b>						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	



**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#40	<b>For a new program, was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?</b>						
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	<b>For existing programs, were the broodstock collection procedures followed?</b>						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Review broodstock collection plan	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?		4			Discussion	
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		4			Discussion	
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#42	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
#42a	Are the spawning protocols written?		4			Review of spawning protocols	
#42b	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		4			Review of records	
#42c	Was the appropriate number of spawners used?		4			Discussion	
#42d	Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?		4			Discussion	
#42e	Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?		4			Discussion	
#42f	Were the fertilization protocols followed?		4			Discussion	
#42g	If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		4			Discussion	

**Table 2 Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) Compliance With Performance Measures**

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#43	<b>Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program in place?</b>						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4	None provided	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	See above	See above
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	See above	See above
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	See above	See above
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	See above	See above

## Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

### The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Type	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

### Remedial Actions at Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, suggestions developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm 40\%$ ).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

**Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMS <sup>1</sup>
<b>Type 1</b> - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns	----	4c
Install security alarms	----	6
<b>Type 2</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Improve smolt-to-adult survival	----	4h
Review IHOT temperature criteria for spawning and rearing	----	5a
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation	----	12
Document compliance with the adequacy of transportation systems to meet IHOT requirements	----	15
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan	----	18-19
Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow or revise criteria	----	18
Develop smoltification goal and monitor	----	22a1
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of vehicle interiors and exteriors	----	23
Provide documentation on inspection and service of the transport truck/chassis and tank/unit prior to the release season	----	23
Provide documentation of water temperature in the transportation unit	----	23
Develop an approved genetics M&E plan	----	43

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMS <sup>2</sup>
<b>Type 3</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor TGP and record	---	5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants	---	5c-5g
<b>Type 4</b> - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing (10,000 gpm)	\$5.7 million	5h, 28
Provide telephone pagers for staff	5,000	6
Provide 2,000 gpm more water to earthen ponds 25, 26 and 27	75,000	19
Provide acclimation facilities for fish released in the Klickitat River	\$1.0 million	22b
<b>Type 5</b> - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Improve fry-to-smolt survival	---	4f



## Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

**Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:  
Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Fisheries</b>	<b>Spawning Grounds<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Hatchery<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Total Combined Contribution</b>	<b>Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)</b>
	<b>(Broodyear)</b>	<b>(Broodyear)</b>	<b>(Broodyear)</b>	<b>(Broodyear)</b>	
1982					
1983					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1984					
1985					
1986					
1987					
1988	2,022	No information provided	342	2,364	2.57%
1989	746	No information provided	311	1,057	1.18%
1990	91	No information provided	23	114	0.12%
1991	19	No information provided	43	62	0.07%
1992					

## Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N) program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

**Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
1. Washougal Hatchery	\$416,351	\$411,703	\$424,914
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
<b>Total Program Costs</b>	<b>\$416,351</b>	<b>\$411,703</b>	<b>\$424,914</b>

The total expenditures for the Washougal Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Table 6a, and 6b).

**Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Washougal Hatchery**

<b>Program</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
1. Tule Fall Chinook	<b>\$196,832</b>	<b>\$224,623</b>	<b>\$195,399</b>
2. Coho (N type)	<b>\$416,351</b>	<b>\$411,703</b>	<b>\$424,914</b>
3.			
4.			
5.			
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$613,183</b>	<b>\$636,326</b>	<b>\$620,313</b>

**Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Washougal Hatchery - Coho (Type N)**

**Expenditure Occurring at Washougal Hatchery**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$141,319	\$147,013	\$148,792
Operational Costs	\$187,137	\$192,625	\$164,700
Capital Costs	\$210,000	\$210,000	\$210,000
Indirect Costs	\$74,727	\$86,688	\$96,821
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$613,183</b>	<b>\$636,326</b>	<b>\$620,313</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	149,256	163,583	177,529
Total Production (lb)	219,881	242,920	259,156
Program as Percent of Total	32.1%	35.3%	31.5%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$416,351</b>	<b>\$411,703</b>	<b>\$424,914</b>

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Washougal Hatchery by Program**

**Tule Fall Chinook**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$141,319	\$147,013	\$148,792
Operational Costs	\$187,137	\$192,625	\$164,700
Capital Costs	\$210,000	\$210,000	\$210,000
Indirect Costs	\$74,727	\$86,688	\$96,821
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$613,183</b>	<b>\$636,326</b>	<b>\$620,313</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	70,625	79,337	81,627
Total Production (lb)	219,881	242,920	259,156
Program as Percent of Total	32.1%	35.3%	31.5%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$196,832</b>	<b>\$224,623</b>	<b>\$195,399</b>

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Washougal Hatchery by Program**

**Coho (N Type)**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$141,319	\$147,013	\$148,792
Operational Costs	\$187,137	\$192,625	\$164,700
Capital Costs	\$210,000	\$210,000	\$210,000
Indirect Costs	\$74,727	\$86,688	\$96,821
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$613,183</b>	<b>\$636,326</b>	<b>\$620,313</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	149,256	163,583	177,529
Total Production (lb)	219,881	242,920	259,156
Program as Percent of Total	32.1%	35.3%	31.5%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$416,351</b>	<b>\$411,703</b>	<b>\$424,914</b>

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.